I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via the Office electronic filing system to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, on the date shown below.

Signature: \_\_\_

Dated: April 14, 2007

/Mark D. Russett/ Mark D. Russett, Reg. No. 41,281

Docket No.: 68099 (70820) (PATENT)

Examiner: B.L. Coleman

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of: Hiroyuki Tawada et al.

Confirmation No.: 6911 Application No.: 10/506,955

Art Unit: 1624

Filed: November 17, 2004

For: PROCESS FOR PRODUCING OPTICALLY

**ACTIVE SULFOXIDE DERIVATIVE** 

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

MS Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicants are in receipt of the Office Communication dated March 14, 2007, and now submit this response.

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the Restriction Requirement on the grounds that consideration and examination of the groups specified in the Restriction should not impose an undue burden. Additionally, significant expense and time would be saved if all of the groups (i.e., all pending claims) were searched and examined at this time.

Solely to comply with the Restriction Requirement, Applicants select with traverse the invention of Group III, claims 1 and 2, directed to a process for preparing compounds of Formula 1 in which m is 3, as set forth in the Office Communication. Applicants further submit that, pursuant to PCT Rule 13.2 and 37 CFR 1.475(b)(2), a national stage application will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn to a product and a process of use of that product (e.g., a compound of Formula II, and salts thereof, and a process for using said compound to prepare a compound of Formula I). Applicants therefore submit that claims 1-2 and claims 3-5 have unity of invention and should be examined together.

Moreover, solely to comply with the tentative requirement that Applicants select a single disclosed species, Applicants elect the compound of Example 19 ((-)-8-[4-(2-butoxyethoxy)phenyl]-1-isobutyl-N-(4-{[(1-propyl-1H-imidazol-5-yl)methyl]sulfinyl}phenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-1-benzoazocine-5-carboxamide methanesulfonate).

It is believed that, of the selected claims, at least claims 1-2 read upon the elected species.

The election of Group III and the above election of species are made to comply with the Restriction Requirement, without prejudice, and the right to file divisional applications on non-elected subject matter is reserved.

Early and favorable consideration of the application is earnestly solicited.

The Director is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in the fees filed, asserted to be filed or which should have been filed herewith (or with any paper hereafter filed in this application by this firm) to our Deposit Account No. 04-1105, under Order No. 68099 (70820).

Dated: April 14, 2007 Respectfully submitted,

By /Mark D. Russett/
Mark D. Russett, Registration No.: 41,281
EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP
P.O. Box 55874
Boston, Massachusetts 02205
(617) 439-4444
Attorneys/Agents For Applicant